



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/660,807

09/11/2003

Atsuo Omaru

09792909-5671

7066

26263 7590 02/23/2007  
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P.O. BOX 061080  
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER  
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

WALKER, KEITH D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1745

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

02/23/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/660,807

Applicant(s)

OMARU, ATSUO

Examiner

Keith Walker

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 November 2006.  
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-17 and 19-22 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-17 and 19-22 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date, \_\_\_\_\_.  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

Claims 11-17 & 19-22 are pending examination and rejected as discussed below.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) has not been filed as of the mailing of this action.

### ***Claim Objections***

Claim 15 objected to because of the following informalities: The element "13i" in line 3 is unknown. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not appear to support the statement "...on top of each other up to a total of three or more layers."

Art Unit: 1745

2. Claims 11-17 & 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "metal incapable of alloying with lithium" is not part of the original disclosure and is not supported by the specification. The specification describes a "metal not alloyed with lithium" (Instant Specification Pg. 9), which is not the same as a metal incapable of alloying with lithium.

Claims depending from claims rejected under 35 USC 112, are also rejected for the same.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The general formula does not have the variable "z", however has a mathematical number associated with it.

4. Claim 12 recites the limitation "said thin film layer" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1745

5. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said two layers of thin film" and "said thin film" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6. Claim 16 recites the limitation "said thin film layer" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 11-15 & 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO/2001/084654 using US Publication 2003/0108795 (Tamura) as the English translation.

Tamura teaches a battery with a nonaqueous electrolyte containing an electrolyte salt solute, a cathode containing an active material and an anode ([0033-0042]). The anode includes a thin film layer of a metal that does not alloy with lithium, a thin film layer of metal that does alloy with lithium, a mixed layer composed of these metals between the thin film layers ([0007]); a thin film of hard carbon on the opposite surface of the metal that alloys with lithium than the metal that does not alloy with lithium ([0033]) and a thin film interlayer between the thin film of carbon and the metal that alloys with lithium ([0037-0040]). The use of metals that alloy with lithium for the

Art Unit: 1745

negative electrode material is known ([0005]). The metal that alloys with lithium is a metal that forms a solid solution or intermetallic compound with lithium; examples include Sn, Ge, Al, In, Mg, Pb, Zn, Bi ([0017]). A current collector is part of the anode and cathode ([0103]). The metal that does not alloy with lithium ([0028]), the carbon ([0038]), and the interlayer ([0040]) are formed as thin films. The active materials for the positive electrode include lithium-containing transition metal oxides, such as  $\text{LiCoO}_2$ ,  $\text{LiNiO}_2$ , and  $\text{LiMnO}_2$ . The anode further contains a layer of carbon material and polymer binder (polyvinylidene fluoride) ([0099]). Since one of the layers making up the anode comprises a polymer this is seen as meeting the limitation of "an anode substrate which comprises a polymer." The designation of any of the layers being a substrate denotes limitations with respect to the process of making the battery, which is seen as product-by-process and while considered the process is not given patentable weight. The final anode product has a layer having a polymer and therefore meets the limitation of the claim. The process of applying other materials to this layer making it the substrate or applying this layer to another layer, making the latter layer the substrate is seen as a process and is seen as not further limiting to the product (MPEP 2113).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 16 & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO/2001/084654 using US Publication 2003/0108795 (Tamura) as the English translation in view of US Patent 6,051,340 (Kawakami).

The teachings of Tamura as discussed above are incorporated herein. For clarification, the carbonaceous material with binder addressed above is not being used to meet the polymer layer as discussed above. The carbonaceous material and binder discussed by Tamura is being used to meet limitations of the carbonaceous material and binder in the instant claims.

Tamura is silent to the use of a substrate comprising a polymer.

Kawakami teaches using an electrically conductive substrate between the current collector and the active material (Fig. 4e-4g; 10:40-11:15). The electrically conductive substrate comprises a polymer resin (14:5-15, 14:65-15:5). The polymer substrate follows the expansion and shrinkage to prevent anode deterioration.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the anode of Tamura with the polymer layer of Kawakami to increase the anode's current collecting performance by absorbing the expansion and contraction motion of the electrode.

9. Claims 19 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO/2001/084654 using US Publication 2003/0108795 (Tamura) as the English translation in view of WO 00/57507 (Hagg).

The teachings of Tamura as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Tamura does not disclose an anode substrate that is a high molecular weight polymer.

Hagg discloses typical polymeric electrode substrates; exemplary polymers are fluorocarbon polymers, polyamides, polyaramides, polyaryl sulfones, polyaryl sulfides, polycarbonates, polyesters, and polyolefins. Other exemplary polymers are found in *Polymer Handbook* (incorporated by reference into the WIPO reference) and include cellulose triacetate (Pg. VIII/3). These substrate materials possess the lowest electrical resistivities, require a relatively low bonding temperature and short processing time. (Pg. 11, ll. 35- Pg. 12, ll. 31; Pg. 22, ll. 34-36). The polymers of Hagg have specific gravities ranging from 0.9 to around 2.0 g/cc ([www.polymerweb.com](http://www.polymerweb.com)).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have used such polymers as taught by Hagg as the electrode substrate as taught by Tamura in order to provide the lowest electrical resistivities and require a relatively low bonding temperature and short processing time.

10. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO/2001/084654 using US Publication 2003/0108795 (Tamura) as the English translation.

The teachings of Tamura as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Tamura teaches the preparation of electrodes in which active material is deposited on a substrate, and then the substrate is cut into smaller pieces (Examples 1-



Art. Unit: 1745

7). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been familiar with wound cells at the time of invention and would have recognized that the coated electrode substrates and a separator could be formed into a wound assembly if the substrates were not cut after coating. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coil the coated substrates in the longitudinal direction with a separator in-between in order to produce a wound cell.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-17 & 19-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1745

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Walker whose telephone number is 571-272-3458.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K. Walker

  
PATRICK RYAN  
SUPERVISOR